

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,687	04/09/2007	Kaoru Nomichi	19036/41822	3602	
4743 MARSHALL	7590 04/06/201 GERSTEIN & BORUI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			LEE, GII	LEE, GILBERT Y	
6300 WILLIS CHICAGO, II		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		3674			
				Γ	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/06/2011	ELECTRONIC .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/575,687	NOMICHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GILBERT Y. LEE	3676		

	GILBERT Y. LEE	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in one went, however, may a map by be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. I NO period or reply is spined above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extending period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (55 U.S. C.§ 1/30). Failure to reply within the set or extending period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (55 U.S. C.§ 1/30). Failure to reply within the set or extending period for reply will be communication. Period in the provided period for reply within the set or extending period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 4/13/06 & 6/5/06 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Craftsperson's Falent Drawling Fnvinw (PTC-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/575,687 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/10/11 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edlund (US Patent No. 3,942,806).

Regarding claim 1, the Edlund reference discloses a gas seal structure (e.g. Fig. 9) capable of being used with a gas having a high permeability with respect to a rubber material, the gas seal structure comprising:

a main seal means (e.g. seal of Fig. 7 that would sit in right groove of Fig. 9) that is made of the rubber material (Col. 6, Lines 49-50 and Col. 1, Lines 5-20) and is disposed between two seal surfaces (e.g. surfaces of 26 and 10);

Application/Control Number: 10/575,687

Art Unit: 3676

a sub-seal means (e.g. seal of Fig. 7 that would sit in left groove of Fig. 9) that is made of resin (Col. 6, Lines 48-50) and is disposed between the two seal surfaces (e.g. Fig. 9), the sub-seal means being located closer to a higher-pressure region (P_H) than the main seal means (Fig. 9) and being provided with a concave groove (11); and

a pressure variation reducing means (e.g. 28) that is disposed between the main seal means and the sub-seal means (Fig. 9) and has a variation reducing space (28) connected only to a gap (e.g. gap between 26 and 10) formed between the two seal surfaces, the variation reducing space being closed by the main seal means and the sub-seal means such that the variation reducing space is disconnected from the atmosphere (Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 2, the Edlund reference discloses the sub-seal means being disposed such that the concave groove opens toward the higher-pressure side region (Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 4, the Edlund reference discloses a gas seal structure (e.g. Fig. 9) comprising:

a main seal (e.g. seal of Fig. 7 that would sit in right groove of Fig. 9) comprising a rubber material (Col. 6, Lines 49-50 and Col. 1, Lines 5-20), the main seal between two seal surfaces (e.g. surfaces of 26 and 10), the rubber material **capable of** having a high permeability when exposed to a gas having a low molecular weight (Fig. 7);

a sub-seal (e.g. seal of Fig. 7 that would sit in left groove of Fig. 9), the sub-seal disposed between the two seal surfaces (Fig. 9), the sub-seal disposed closer to a higher-pressure region (P_H) than the main seal (Fig. 9);

Application/Control Number: 10/575,687

Art Unit: 3676

a concave groove (11) formed in the sub-seal (Fig. 7);

a gap (e.g. gap between 26 and 10) formed between the two seal surfaces adiacent the sub-seal (Fig. 9):

an enclosed pressure variation reducing space (28) disposed between the main seal and the sub-seal and in flow communication with the gap (Fig. 9), the pressure reducing space closed by the main seal and the sub-seal (Fig. 9), the pressure variation reducing space having a volume (e.g. volume of 28) arranged to inhibit occurrence of a blistering phenomenon in the main seal (Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 5, the Edlund reference discloses the sub-seal means being disposed such that the concave groove opens toward the higher-pressure side region (Fig. 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Edlund in view of Balsells (US Patent No. 4,890,937).

Regarding claim 3, the Edlund reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,687

Art Unit: 3676

However, the Edlund reference fails to explicitly disclose the concave groove of seal opening toward a lower-pressure side region.

The Balsells reference, a seal with a groove, discloses making the concave groove of a seal, close to a high pressure region, open toward a lower-pressure side region (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to reverse the seal in the Edlund reference in view of the teachings of the Balsells reference in order to limit the pressure acting on main seal.

Regarding claim 6, the Edlund reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 4.

However, the Edlund reference fails to explicitly disclose the concave groove of seal opening toward a lower-pressure side region.

The Balsells reference, a seal with a groove, discloses making the concave groove of a seal, close to a high pressure region, open toward a lower-pressure side region (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to reverse the seal in the Edlund reference in view of the teachings of the Balsells reference in order to limit the pressure acting on main seal.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GILBERT Y. LEE whose telephone number is (571)272-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shane Bomar can be reached on 571-272-7026. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GILBERT Y LEE/ Examiner, Art Unit 3676